

MAY 19 2008

Appl. No. : 10/603,235 Confirmation No. 5606  
Applicant : James A. Lesch, Jr.  
Filed : June 24, 2003  
TC/A.U. : 3752  
Examiner : Patrick F. Brinson  
  
Docket No. : NWK1581  
Customer No. : 30245

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria VA 22313-1450

**Renewed Petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a)**

Sir:

In response to the dismissal of the Petition dated January 17, 2008, petitioner shows:

- (1) 37 CFR 1.33(a) states that "if more than one correspondence address is specified in a single document, the Office . . . will select the address associated with a Customer Number over a typed correspondence address."
- (2) Where an action is returned as undeliverable, the Office must attempt to ascertain the correct address and re-mail the action, with the period being reset with the date of re-mailing. See *In re Gourtoff*, 1924 C.D. 153, 329 O.G. 536 (Comm'r Pat. 1924).

The Office neither selected the Customer Number address, which was updated in a timely manner, nor attempted to ascertain the correct address when it was returned as undeliverable. Attorney used due care to take all action necessary for proper response to

05/23/2008 SLUANG1 00000002 10603235

01 FC:2252

230.00 0P


Page 1 of 4

Appl. No. 10/603,235  
Amdt. dated May 19, 2008  
Reply to Office action of June 4, 2004

outstanding Office actions, but could not respond to an Action that was unknown to Attorney because the Office did not follow its owns procedures.

Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests that the Abandonment be vacated and the holding dismissing the petition be withdrawn.

Respectfully submitted,



Anthony Edw. J Campbell  
Reg. No. 39,619  
Customer No. 30,245  
Phone 512/306-0321  
Attorney for Applicant

Date: May 19, 2008

**Certificate of Facsimile**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by fax to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. 571-273-8300 on the date shown below.

Anthony Edw. J Campbell



*Monday, May 19, 2008*

Appl. No. 10/603,235  
Amdt. dated May 19, 2008  
Reply to Office action of June 4, 2004